

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/690,724	Applicant(s) GILLIS ET AL.	
	Examiner JOHN PAK	Art Unit 1616	

All Participants:

(1) JOHN PAK.

(2) SEAN DALEY.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 12 July 2007
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner contacted Mr. Daley to discuss the possibility of filing a terminal disclaimer over U.S. Patent 7,087,249. The Examiner stated that suppository and instillation were claimed in the patent for GI tract inflammation treatment. Mr. Daley indicated that he would consider filing another terminal disclaimer in the week of 7/16-7/20. (* As of this writing on 7/23/2007, the terminal disclaimer has not been filed.)